SYLLABUS

1. Data about the program

1 8	
1.1 Higher education	Babeş-Bolyai University
institution	
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences
1.3 Department	Department of Psychology
1.4 Field of study	Psychology
1.5 Study cycle	Master
1.6 Study program /	Master's Degree in Human Resource Psychology and Organizational
Qualification	Health

2. Course information

2.1 Name of the course Methods of			of En	hancing Group Perform	nance	(PME1439)	
2.2 The holder of the course activities Prof. Univ. Dr. Petru L. Curșeu							
2.3 The holder of the seminar activities			Pr	of. Univ. Dr. Petru L.	Curșe	u	
2.4 Year of study	Ι	2.5 Semester	Semester 2 2.6. Type of E, 2.7 Course regime			DS	
evaluation VP							

3. Estimated total time (hours per semester of teaching activities)

3.1 Number of hours per week	3	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3 seminar/laboratory	3
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	42	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6 seminar/laboratory	14
Distribution of estimated time:		·			ore
Studying textbook, course support, bib	oliogra	aphy, and notes			36
Additional documentation in the librar	y, on	specialized electronic p	latfo	rms and in the field	38
Preparation of seminars / laboratories,	Preparation of seminars / laboratories, papers, portfolios, and essays				
Tutorship					10
Evaluations				10	
Other activities: research activities				20	
3.7 Total hours of individual study 108					
3.8 Total hours per semester 150					
3.9 Number of ECTS credits6					

4. Preconditions (where applicable)

4.1 curriculum	-
4.2 competencies	-

5. Conditions (where applicable)

5.1 Course conduct	• classroom with approx. 60 seats, laptop, video projector, photocopied
	materials, materials on CD, sound system
5.2 Conducting the seminar	• seminar room with approx. 60 seats, laptop, video projector,
/ laboratory	photocopied materials, materials on CD, sound system, flipchart sheets,
	markers

6. Specific skills acquired

6. Specif	ic skills acquired				
	• The student is able to develop evidence-based methods and instruments drawing upon the				
	scientific literature (theories, frameworks, empirical data) in order to identify, measure, and				
	evaluate a set of individual, group, organizational, and situational characteristics. (1.3.).				
Profes	• The student is able to use the results of the organizational diagnosis in order to inform and				
sional	establish interventions addressed to multiple levels of the organization. (2.2).				
Comp	• The student is able to define and apply organizational development and change methods in				
etenci	order to support the performance and innovation of the organization. (2.3.).				
	• The student is able to propose methods of testing the efficiency of interventions addressed				
es	to multiple levels of the organization in order to inform and adopt courses of action. (2.4.).				
	• The student is able to differentiate between and adopt perspectives, approaches, and				
	theories or organizational complexity in order to inform actions and decisions that support				
T	organizational performance (3.3).				
Trans	• The student is able to use written language, verbal language, non-verbal language, multi-				
versal	media technologies and different communication channels in order to send and receive clear and				
compe	precise information, adapted to the targeted audience. (1.1).				
tencie	• The student is able to use feedback techniques to repair or mention the communication or				
S	performance quality at multiple levels. (1.2.).				
	• The student is able to interpret and integrate information from multiple sources in order to				
	make decisions and recommendations within organizations. (2.2.).				
	• The student is able to issue informed judgement regarding the value of ideas, perspectives				
	and arguments in order to substantiate interventions at an individual, group, organizational and				
	multiple level. (2.4.).				
	• The student is able to implement their knowledge regarding the group dynamics and				
	processes in order to maintain an efficient collaboration within the work teams. (3.2.).				
	• The student is able to organize a project to regulate his own activity and to offer				
	consulting to anyone involved in interorganizational and intraorganizational projects. (4.3.).				
	• The student is able to elaborate an action plan in order to develop the professional				
	competencies needed to perform in the dynamics of the external and internal organizational				
	environment. (6.3.).				

7. The objectives of the discipline (based on the grid of acquired competencies)

7.1 The general objective of the discipline	• Familiarization with the basic theoretical concepts and models that explain the dynamics of work teams and the implications on their effectiveness
	• Acquiring a rigorous and scientifically based analysis grid of team functioning
7.2 Specific objectives	1. Knowledge and understanding
	 Defining the notion of team effectiveness; knowledge of the theoretical models that explain the functioning of teams; knowledge of the main theories with implications on the explanation of group dynamics; knowledge of intra-group and intra-organizational factors that influence: the quality of group decisions, the emergence of intra-group conflicts and their implications, the facilitation of collaborative learning, the emergence of trust, cohesion, shared mental models, etc. 2. Explanation and interpretation

Understanding, recognition and the ability to critically analyze the factors that influence the effectiveness of teams in an organizational context;
3. Instrumental - applicative
Acquiring skills to diagnose the main problems of group functioning; developing skills for designing and implementing interventions aimed at optimizing the functioning of teams derived from the scientific literature
4. Attitudinal
Manifesting an open attitude towards teamwork, but also towards facilitating team learning through exposure to experiential learning activities.

8. Contents

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Introduction to group theory. Models of team	Interactive lecture,	
effectiveness: The Input-Process-Output and Input –	guided discovery,	
Mediator – Output – Input models	exemplification	
Mediator – Output – Input models	1	
2. Theories used in the analysis of group effectiveness –	Interactive lecture,	
Social Interdependence Theory, Social Identity Theory,	guided discovery,	
Open System Theory, etc.	exemplification	
3. The implication of compositional factors on the	Interactive lecture,	
functioning of teams; The role of diversity	guided discovery,	
	exemplification	
4. Team Leadership and Team Coaching	Interactive lecture,	
	guided discovery,	
	exemplification	
5. Team conflict - diagnosis and intervention methods	Interactive lecture,	
	guided discovery,	
	exemplification	
6. Teams as information processing systems. The role of	Interactive lecture,	
cognitive structures for team performance	guided discovery,	
7 Transland	exemplification	
7. Team learning	Interactive lecture,	
	guided discovery, exemplification	
8. Team decision-making – diagnosis and intervention	Interactive lecture,	
methods	guided discovery,	
litettious	exemplification	
9. Creativity and innovation in teams - methods of	Interactive lecture,	
diagnosis and intervention	guided discovery,	
	exemplification	
10. Counterproductive team behaviors	Interactive lecture,	
	guided discovery,	
	exemplification	
11. Multiple team membership – the influence of	Interactive lecture,	
organizational design on group coordination and	guided discovery,	
performance	exemplification	
12. Process interventions for optimizing group performance	Interactive lecture,	
	Group exercises	
References *		
a. Mandatory:		

Behfar, K.J. et al. (2008). The Critical Role of Conflict Resolution in Teams: A Close Look at the Links Between Conflict Type, Conflict Management Strategies, and Team Outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 93(1):170. Curşeu, P.L: (2007). *Grupurile in organizații*, Editura Polirom: Iași

Druskat, V.U. & J.V. Wheeler. (2004). How to Lead a Self-Managing Team. *MIT Sloan Management Review* :65-72 Flanagan, T. & C. Runde. (2009). How Teams Can Capitalize on Conflict. *Strategy & Leadership*, 37(1):20-22.

Foo, M-D. 2009. Teams Developing Business Ideas: How Member Characteristics and Conflict Affect Member-rated Team Effectiveness. *Small Business Economics* 36:33-46.

Furnham, A., Richards, S.C. & Paulhus, D. L. (2013) The Dark Triad of Personality: A 10 Year Review. Social and Personality Psychology Compass 7/3 (2013): 199–216, 10.1111/spc3.12018

Graeff, C. L. (1997). Evolution Of Situational Leadership Theory: A Critical Review. *Leadership Quarterly*, 8(2),153-170

Gratton, L., A. Voigt & T.J. Erickson. (2007). Bridging Faultlines in Diverse Teams. MIT Sloan Management Review Harrison, D.A. & Klein, K. J. (2007). What's The Difference? Diversity Constructs As Separation, Variety, Or Disparity In Organizations. *Academy of Management Review*

Hinsz, V.B. Tindale, S. & Vollrath, D.A. (1997). The Emerging Conceptualization of Groups as Information Processes. *Psychological Bulletin* 121(1):43-64, DOI: 10.1037/0033-2909.121.1.43

Hoever, I.J., van Knippenberg, D., Ginkel, W.P & Barkema, H.G. (2012). Fostering Team Creativity: Perspective Taking as Key to Unlocking Diversity's Potential, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97, 5, 982-996

Jehn, K.A. & Bendersky, C. (2003). Intragroup Conflict in Organizations: A Contingency Perspective on the Conflict-Outcome Relationship. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 25, pg. 197–229

Ilgen, D.R., Hollenbeck, J.R., Johnosn, M. & Jundt, D. (2005). Team in Organizations: From Input-Process-Output Models to IMOI Models, *Annual Review of Psychology*, 56, 517-543.

Kozlowski, S. W. J. (2015). Advancing research on team process dynamics: Theoretical, methodological, and measurement considerations. *Organizational Psychology Review*, 5, 270-299.

Kozlowski, S. W. J., & Chao, G. T. (2012). The Dynamics of Emergence: Cognition and Cohesion in Work Teams. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 33, 335-354, doi:10.1002/mde.2552

Kozlowski, S. W. J., & Ilgen, D. R. (2006). Enhancing the effectiveness of work groups and teams. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, **7**, **77–124**.

Kerr, N. L. (1983). The dispensability of member effort and group motivation losses: Free-rider effects. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 44, 78-94.

Larson, J. R. (2010). In search of synergy in small group performance. New York: Psychology Press.

Latane, B., Williams, K., & Harkins, S. (1979). Many hands make light the work: The causes and consequences of social loafing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37, 822-832.

Moreland, R. L., & Myaskovsky, L. (2000). Exploring the performance benefits of group training: Transactive memory or improved communication? *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 82, 117–133.

O'Leary, M. B., Mortensen, M., & Woolley, A. W. (2011). Multiple team membership: A theoretical model of its effects on productivity and learning for individuals and teams. *The Academy of Management Review*, 36, 461–478. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5465/AMR.2011.6103180

Pluut, H., Fleștea, A.M., Curșeu, P.L. (2014). Multiple Team Membership: A Demand or Resource for Employees?. *Group Dynamics*, 18(4), 333-348.

Park, G., & Hinsz, V.B. (2015). Judgment and decision making in teams. Oxford Bibliographies: Management.

Perry-Smith, J. & mannucci, P.V. (2014). From Creativity To Innovation: The Social Network Drivers Of The Four Phases Of The Idea Journey. *Academy of Management Review*.

Salas, E., & Cannon-Bowers, J.A. (2001). The science of training: A decade of progress. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 471-499.

Salas, E., Fiore, S.M., & Letsky, M.P. (2013). *Theories of team cognition: Cross-disciplinary perspectives*. New York: Routledge.

Schaubroeck, J., Carmeli, A., & Paz, E. (2016). Enabling team learning when members are prone to contentious communication: The role of team leader coaching, *Human Relations*, 1–19.

Zajac, S., Gregory, M.E., Bedwell, W. L., Kramer, W.S. & Salas, E. (2013). The cognitive underpinnings of adaptive team performance in ill-defined task situations: A closer look at team cognition. *Organizational Psychology Review*, 1-25, DOI: 10.1177/2041386613492787

Zhang, X., & Zhou, J. 2014. Empowering leadership, uncertainty avoidance, trust, and employee creativity: Interaction effects and a mediating mechanism. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 124: 150-164.

Woolley, A. W., Chabris, C. F., Pentland, A., Hashmi, N., & Malone, T. W. (2010). Evidence for a collective intelligence factor in the performance of human groups. *Science* 330.6004 (2010): 686-688

b. Recommended:

Curșeu, P.L: (2003) Formal group decision making: a socio-cognitive approach, ASCR: Cluj-Napoca

Curșeu, P.L: (2006). Group Composition: Theoretical and Methodological Considerations. In Group Composition and Effectiveness, ASCR: Cluj-Napoca

* as well as other bibliographic sources mentioned during the course activities

8.2. Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Models for diagnosing team functioning problems. A taxonomy of types of work team interventions	Demonstration example, group activities, case study	
2. Team dynamics. A taxonomy of team processes and implications for team effectiveness.	Group activities, synthesis of knowledge	Mandatory pre-seminar bibliography:
		Marks, A., Mathieu, J.E., Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). A temporally based framework and taxonomy of team processes, <i>The Academy of</i> <i>Management Review</i> ; 26, 3.
3. mplications of diversity for team functioning – practical applications	Group activities, synthesis of knowledge	Mandatory pre-seminar bibliography:
		Harrison, D.A. & Klein, K. J. (2007). What's The Difference? Diversity Constructs As Separation, Variety, Or Disparity In Organizations. Academy of Management Review
4. Team Leadership and Team Coaching	Conceptual clarification, directed discovery, group activities	
5. Team conflict – practical applications	Case study, directed discovery, debate	Mandatory pre-seminar bibliography:
		Jehn, K.A. & Bendersky, C. (2003). Intragroup Conflict in Organizations: A Contingency Perspective on the Conflict- Outcome Relationship. <i>Research in Organizational</i> <i>Behavior</i> , 25, pg. 197–229
6. The role of tranzactive memory, shared mental models, cognitive complexity and shared understanding for team	Group activities, debate, conceptual	
effectiveness – practical applications	clarification, synthesis of knowledge, conversation, demonstrative example, exposition	
7. Team learning – practical applications	Case study, debate, conceptual clarification, synthesis of knowledge	
8. Team decision making – practical applications	Group activities, debate, conceptual	

	clarification, synthesis of knowledge	
9. Creativity and innovation in teams – practical applications	Group activities, debate, conceptual clarification, synthesis of knowledge	Mandatory pre-seminar bibliography: Sethi, R., D.C. Smith, & C.W. Park. (2002). How to Kill a Team's Creativity. Harvard Business Review (August):16- 17 Kumar, H. & Raghavendran, S. (2014). Bringing Fun and Creativity to Work. <i>MIT Sloan</i> <i>Management Review</i> : 56(1): 95- 96.
10. Counterproductive team behaviors - practical applications	Case study, debate, synthesis of knowledge	
11. Multiple team membership – practical applications	Group activities, debate, conceptual clarification, synthesis of knowledge	
 12. Process interventions for optimizing group performance practical applications 	Group activities, discussions, synthesis of knowledge	

Mandatory references*:

Behfar, K.J. et al. (2008). The Critical Role of Conflict Resolution in Teams: A Close Look at the Links Between Conflict Type, Conflict Management Strategies, and Team Outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 93(1):170.

Burke S, Stagl K, Klein C, et al. (2006) What types of leadership behaviours are functional in teams? A metaanalysis de Wit, F.R., Greer, L.L., & Jehn, K.A (2012). The paradox of intragroup conflict: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 97(2), pp. 360-90. doi: 10.1037/a0024844

Hill, N. S. & Bartol, K.M. (2016). Empowering leadership and effective collaboration in geographically dispersed teams, *Personnel Psychology*, 69, 159–198

Graeff, C. L. (1997). Evolution Of Situational Leadership Theory: A Critical Review. *Leadership Quarterly*, 8(2),153-170

Harrison, D.A. & Klein, K. J. (2007). What's The Difference? Diversity Constructs As Separation, Variety, Or Disparity In Organizations. *Academy of Management Review*

Jehn, K.A. & Bendersky, C. (2003). Intragroup Conflict in Organizations: A Contingency Perspective on the Conflict-Outcome Relationship. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 25, pg. 197–229

Kumar, H. & Raghavendran, S. (2014). Bringing Fun and Creativity to Work. *MIT Sloan Management Review*: 56(1): 95-96.

Marks, A., Mathieu, J.E., Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). A temporally based framework and taxonomy of team processes, The *Academy of Management Review*; 26, 3.

Mello, A.L. & Rentsch, J.R. (2015). Cognitive Diversity in Teams: A Multidisciplinary Review. *Small Group Research*, 1–36. DOI: 10.1177/1046496415602558

Mohammed, S. & Dumville, B. (2001). Team mental models in a team knowledge framework: expanding theory and measurement across disciplinary boundaries. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 22, 2, 89-106

O'Leary, M. B., Mortensen, M., & Woolley, A. W. (2011). Multiple team membership: A theoretical model of its effects on productivity and learning for individuals and teams. *The Academy of Management Review*, 36, 461–478. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5465/AMR.2011.6103180

Pluut, H., Fleștea, A.M., Curșeu, P.L. (2014). Multiple Team Membership: A Demand or Resource for Employees?. *Group Dynamics*, 18(4), 333-348.

Sethi, R., D.C. Smith, & C.W. Park. (2002). How to Kill a Team's Creativity. *Harvard Business Review* (August):16-17

* as well as other bibliographic sources mentioned during the seminar activities

9. Corroborating the contents of the discipline with the expectations of the representatives of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers in the field related to the program

•

10. Evaluation

			1
Activity type	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 evaluation methods	10.3 Weight in the
			final grade
10.4 Course	Correctness and completeness of	Exam	50%
	knowledge		
	The degree of assimilation of the		
	specialized language		
	Logical coherence		
10.5 Seminar/laboratory	The ability to apply the concepts and	Projects	30%
	theoretical models studied in the study		
	of human behavior in a social context		
	Correctness and completeness of	Applied activities carried	20%
	knowledge	out during the semester -	
	The degree of assimilation of the	continuous evaluation	
	specialized language		
	Logical coherence		
10.6 Minimum performa	ince standard		
m 1 1 1 1		1. 1. 1. 1 0	

• The ability to apply the concepts and theoretical models studied in the diagnosis of work team functioning problems and in the design of interventions

• Correctness and completeness of knowledge

- The degree of assimilation of the specialized language
- The ability to formulate research ideas
- Logical coherence

The conditions for passing the exam are:

- A score of minimum 50% for the exam (2.5 points out of 5)

- A combined score for all the evaluation methods (exam, projects, applied activities) of minimum 4.5 points

Signature of the seminar holder

Date of completion

Signature of the course holder

Prof. univ. dr. Petru Curseu

Prof. univ. dr. Petru Curseu

Date of approval in the department

Signature of the department chair/director

14.09.2023

12.09.2023