SYLLABUS

Legal Psychology

University year 2025-2026

1. Information regarding the programme

| 1.1. Higher education institution | Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai din Cluj Napoca | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1.2. Faculty | Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences | |
| 1.3. Department | Department of Psychology | |
| 1.4. Field of study | Psychology – Cognitive Sciences | |
| 1.5. Study cycle | Bachelor level | |
| 1.6. Study programme/Qualification | Psychologist | |
| 1.7. Form of education | Full-time | |

2. Information regarding the discipline

| 2.1. Name of the dis | scipli | ne Legal Psy | Legal Psychology | | | | Discipline code | PLE1644 |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| 2.2. Course coordinator George V | | | | isu, Associated Lecturer, P | hD | | | |
| 2.3. Seminar coordinator | | | | Na | arcisa l | Prodan, Assistant Lecturer, | PhD | |
| 2.4. Year of study | 3 | 2.5. Semester | 6 | 2.6. Type of evaluation | on | Е | 2.7. Discipline regime | DS |

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

| 3.1. Hours per week | 3 | of which: 3.2 course | 2 | 3.3 seminar/laboratory | 1 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------------|----|------------------------|-------|
| 3.4. Total hours in the curriculum | 36 | of which: 3.5 course | 24 | 3.6 seminar/laborator | 12 |
| Time allotment for individual study (ID) and self-study activities (SA) | | | | | hours |
| 3.5.1. Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes (SA) | | | | | 20 |
| 3.5.2. Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation) | | | | | 12 |
| 3.5.3. Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays | | | | | 8 |
| 3.5.4. Tutorship | | | | | 2 |
| 3.5.5. Evaluations | | | | | 2 |
| 3.5.6. Other activities: | | | | | |
| 3.7. Total individual study hours 46 | | | | | |
| 3.8. Total hours per semester 100 | | | | | |
| 3.9. Number of ECTS credits 4 | | | | | |

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

| | Developmental Psychology |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Experimental Psychology |
| 4.1. curriculum | Clinical Psychology |
| | Cognitive Psychology |
| | Introduction to neuroscience |
| 4.2. aammatanaisa | - critical thinking |
| 4.2. competencies | - the ability to understand and apply research designs to legal psychology. |

5. Conditions (if necessary)

| 5.1. for the course | Classroom Video projection system (PC/laptop, video projector) Audio system |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5.2. for the seminar /lab activities | Classroom Video projection system (PC/laptop, video projector) |

| | Audio system | | | | |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 6. Specifi | c competencies acquired | | | | |
| | Knowledge and understanding | | | | |
| | The ability to understand and explain the terminology of legal psychology | | | | |
| | General understanding of legal psychological topics | | | | |
| | Contrasting and criticizing current issues and controversies in legal psychological research | | | | |
| | • Understand the importance and limitations of psychological research to the application of legal processes | | | | |
| - | Understand the importance of developing testable theories and how these theories help to explain and | | | | |
| tia | predict human behavior within the legal system | | | | |
| sen | Explanation and interpretation | | | | |
| ess | Describe the various ways that psychologists interact with the legal system | | | | |
| al/a | Articulate the tensions that exist between psychology and law | | | | |
| on: pe | The ability to understand, explain, and criticize methods and the experimental work done in this | | | | |
| essional/esser competencies | discipline | | | | |
| Professional/essential competencies | Instrumental - applicative | | | | |
|) ro | Learning the main techniques for investigating several topics from the field of Legal psychology | | | | |
| _ | | | | | |

- Learning the main techniques for investigating several topics from the field of Legal psychology
- Developing skills to conduct a research project

Attitude

- Manifestation of a positive and responsible attitude towards the scientific field
- Cultivating a responsible attitude towards the research activity in the field
- Interest in personal development in the field

Transversal

- Written and oral communication skills
- Teamwork skills
- Time management skills and the management of resources
- Competences in using scientific terminology in the field of cognitive science
- Competences for the interdisciplinary use of knowledge and terminology in the fields of psychology and cognitive sciences

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

| 7.1 General objective of the discipline | Provide students with an introduction to the theories, models, and research related to the field of Legal psychology | | |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 7.2 Specific objective of the discipline | To examine the various ways that psychologists interact with the legal system and apply research and theory to legal problems; To provide a working knowledge of the psychological theories that underpin human behavior in the criminal justice system, including the behavior of witnesses, children, judges, and offenders. To critically review the research in legal psychology and to enable students to become informant consumers of this research. | | |

8. Content

| 8.1 Course | Teaching methods | Remarks |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Introductive notions of legal psychology | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery | |
| 2. Criminal behavior theories | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery | |
| 3. Types of crimnalbehavior | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery | |

| 4. Personality disorders in legal settings | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5. Memory and suggestibility | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery |
| 6. Detecting deception in legal settings | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery |
| 7. Malingering | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery |
| 8. Interrogation and investigative interviewing tehniques | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery |
| 9. Involuntary Celibacy | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery |
| 10. False confessions | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery |
| 11. Juvenile deliquency | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery |
| 12. Legal psychology - recap | Lecture, demonstrative example, synthesis of knowledge, guided discovery |

Bibliography

Bošković, I. (2022). Trust, doubt, and symptom validity. In The Future of Forensic Psychology (pp. 127-138). Routledge. Haber, R. N., & Haber, L. (2000). Experiencing, remembering and reporting events. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 6(4), 1057.

Hagan, F. E., & Daigle, L. E. (2018). Part II: Theories in crimonology. In Introduction to criminology: Theories, methods, and criminal behavior. Sage Publications.

Hartwig, M., Granhag, P. A., & Strömwall, L. (2007). Guilty and innocent suspects' strategies duringpolice interrogations. Psychology, Crime, & Law, 13, 213–227.

Honts, C. R., Thurber, S., & Handler, M. (2021). A comprehensive meta-analysis of the comparison question polygraph test. *Applied cognitive psychology*, *35*(2), 411-427.

Howitt, D. (2006). *Introduction to forensic and criminal psychology*. Pearson Education.

Phillips, K., Davidson, J. C., Farr, R. R., Burkhardt, C., Caneppele, S., & Aiken, M. P. (2022). Conceptualizing cybercrime: Definitions, typologies and taxonomies. *Forensic sciences*, *2*(2), 379-398.

Tribbels, S., & Michels, M. (2025). Validity and effectiveness of interrogation techniques: A meta-analytic review. *Military Psychology*, *37*(2), 127-137.

Visu-Petra, G., Buta, M., & Visu-Petra, L. (2016). Psihologia copilului în context judiciar. Fenomene teoretice și aplicative. Editura ASCR.

Vrij, A. (2008). Detecting lies and deceit: Pitfalls and opportunities. John Wiley & Sons.

Vrij, A. (2018). Verbal lie detection tools from an applied perspective. In J. P. Rosenfeld (Ed.), Detecting concealed information and deception: Recent developments (pp. 297–321). San Diego, CA: Elsevier: Academic Press.

Wood, A., Tanteckchi, P., & Keatley, D. A. (2022). A crime script analysis of involuntary celibate (INCEL) mass murderers. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, 1-13

| 8.2 Seminar / laboratory | | Teaching methods | Remarks |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| | ntroductive notions of legal | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual | |
| | psychology – the psychologist's role | clarification, practical activities | |
| 2. | Criminal behavior theories – study | Presentation, knowledge | |
| ۷. | cases | synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities | |
| | Types of crimnal behavior - cybercrime | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities | |

| 4. | Personality disorders in legal settings – prevalence and relevance | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5. | Memory and suggestibility in adults and children | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities |
| 6. | Non-verbal cues to deception: limitations | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities |
| 7. | Malingering assessment | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities |
| 8. | Interrogation and investigative interviewing: REID and PEACE tehniques | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities |
| 9. | Involuntary Celibacy – relevance in legal settings | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities |
| 10. | False confessions | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities |
| 11. | Juvenile deliquency – risk factors | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities |
| 12. | Recap – case studies | Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities |

Bibliography

Bošković, I. (2022). Trust, doubt, and symptom validity. In The Future of Forensic Psychology (pp. 127-138). Routledge. Haber, R. N., & Haber, L. (2000). Experiencing, remembering and reporting events. Psychology, PublicPolicy, and Law, 6(4), 1057.

Hagan, F. E., & Daigle, L. E. (2018). Part II: Theories in crimonology. In Introduction to criminology: Theories, methods, and criminal behavior. Sage Publications.

Hartwig, M., Granhag, P. A., & Strömwall, L. (2007). Guilty and innocent suspects' strategies duringpolice interrogations. Psychology, Crime, & Law, 13, 213–227.

Honts, C. R., Thurber, S., & Handler, M. (2021). A comprehensive meta-analysis of the comparison question polygraph test. *Applied cognitive psychology*, 35(2), 411s-427.

Howitt, D. (2006). *Introduction to forensic and criminal psychology*. Pearson Education.

Phillips, K., Davidson, J. C., Farr, R. R., Burkhardt, C., Caneppele, S., & Aiken, M. P. (2022). Conceptualizing cybercrime: Definitions, typologies and taxonomies. *Forensic sciences*, *2*(2), 379-398.

Tribbels, S., & Michels, M. (2025). Validity and effectiveness of interrogation techniques: A meta-analytic review. *Military Psychology*, *37*(2), 127-137.

Visu-Petra, G., Buta, M., & Visu-Petra, L. (2016). Psihologia copilului în context judiciar. Fenomene teoretice și aplicative. Editura ASCR.

Vrij, A. (2008). Detecting lies and deceit: Pitfalls and opportunities. John Wiley & Sons.

Vrij, A. (2018). Verbal lie detection tools from an applied perspective. In J. P. Rosenfeld (Ed.), Detecting concealed information and deception: Recent developments (pp. 297–321). San Diego, CA: Elsevier: Academic Press.

Wood, A., Tanteckchi, P., & Keatley, D. A. (2022). A crime script analysis of involuntary celibate (INCEL) mass murderers. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, 1-13

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

The proposed lectures and seminars are designed to engage students with both foundational and advanced topics in legal psychology, a discipline situated at the intersection of psychology and law that investigates how psychological principles can inform legal processes, institutions, and outcomes. The course content reflects current theoretical and empirical advancements in areas such as eyewitness testimony, investigative interviewing, and the psychological assessment of offenders.

By integrating state-of-the-art research findings, the program not only enhances students' academic understanding but also develops methodological and analytical skills that are transferable across both scientific inquiry and applied practice. These competencies are closely aligned with the expectations of the broader epistemic community in legal psychology, including international scholarly networks, professional associations such as the European Association of Psychology and Law (EAPL), and representative employers in the criminal justice system, forensic services, policy-making institutions, and academic research. The course structure supports students in meeting contemporary standards of evidence-based practice, scientific integrity, and interdisciplinary collaboration—key competencies valued by these stakeholders.

10. Evaluation

| Activity type | 10.1 Evaluation criteria | 10.2 Evaluation methods | 10.3 Percentage of final grade |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 10.4 Course | Understading the basic theoretical concepts | Written exam | 50% |
| 10.5 Seminar/laboratory | Applying the theoretical knowledge to specific concepts and presenting them accurately | Semester project (oral presentation) | 50% |

10.6 Minimum standard of performance

• Final grade of minimum 4.5 points.

11. Labels ODD (Sustainable Development Goals)1

| | General label for Sustainable Development | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | 3 SANATATE SI BUNASTARE | 4 EDUCATIE DE CALITATE | | | | | |
| 10 INEGALITĀTI | | | | | | 16 PAGE JUSTITIE SI INSTITUTII EFICIENTE | | |

¹ Keep only the labels that, according to the <u>Procedure for applying ODD labels in the academic process</u>, suit the discipline and delete the others, including the general one for <u>Sustainable Development</u> – if not applicable. If no label describes the discipline, delete them all and write <u>"Not applicable."</u>.

| Date: |
|------------|
| 25.04.2025 |

Signature of course coordinator

George Visu, Associated Lecturer, PhD

Signature of seminar coordinator

Narcisa Prodan, Assistant Lecturer, PhD

The

| Date of approval: | Signature of the head of department |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | |
| | |